Single Bench

P.R. No. 5213/c
Presented by Shri Anoosh Pandey
dated 13/9/06

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BILASPUR (C.G.)

WRIT PETITION NO. 5387 / 2006

PETITIONER : Smt. Savita Sharma W/o Late Shri Vinod Sharma, aged about 57 years, R/o C-49 Shankar Nagar Raipur (C.G.)

VERSUS

RESPONDENT :

1. State of Chhattisgarh through the Secretary, Law and Legislature Deptt. D.K.S Bhavan, Raipur (C.G.)

2. The Chhattishgarh Legal Sevice Authority, Through its Member Secretary, Warehouse Road, Bilaspur (C.G.)

3. Shrinivas Sharma S/o Ram Nidhi Sharma, Accountant, Officer of the Chhattisgarh Legal Services Authority, Raipur (C.G)

RECEIVED-COPY
13/9/06
CLERK TO A. G. BILASPUR

WRIT PETITION (S) UNDER ARTICLE 226/227 OF THE CONSTITUTION OF INDIA

42

HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH AT BILASPUR

SINGLE BENCH : HON'BLE MR. SATISH K. AGNIHOTRI, J.

WRIT PETITION NO.5387 OF 2006

Petitioner : Smt. Savita Sharma

versus

Respondents : State of Chhattisgarh and others

Present : Mr. Vivek Verma, counsel for the petitioner.
Mr. Sumesh Bajaj, Government Advocate for respondent No.1/State.

ORDER
(Passed on 9th of October, 2006)

Main grievance of the petitioner raised in this petition under Articles 226/227 of the Constitution of India is that even though respondent No.3 is junior to the petitioner, but he has been promoted to the post of Accountant vide order dated 1-11-2003 (Annexure P-7) against which the petitioner made representation (Annexure P-8) and the representation is not being decided by the competent authority.

2. Learned counsel appearing for the petitioner, at this stage, submits that limited prayer is that respondent No.2 be directed to decide the representation filed by the petitioner at the earliest.

3. Having heard learned counsel for the parties and having regard to the facts and circumstances of the case, the prayer made by

43

learned counsel for the petitioner is just, fair and reasonable. Therefore, respondent No.2 is directed to decide the representation filed by the petitioner within two months from the date of receipt of a copy of this order, in accordance with law.

4. With the direction aforesaid, the petition stands disposed of. No order as to costs.

Certified copy as per rules.

Sd/-
Satish K. Agnihotri
Judge

Gopal